Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/667,483	KAGAWA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	R. James Balls	1625
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allowable</u>	
(1) <u>R. James Balls</u> .	(3)	
(2) Arthur Crawford.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>5 October 2006</u>	Time: <u>10:47am</u>	•
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC §112		
Claims discussed: Claims 26-30		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
·		
Mh		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants were asked to cancel claims 12 and 27. Claim 12 was drawn to non-elected subject matter and should be grouped with Group I (as defined in the 12/6/2005 restriction requirement). Claim 27 improperly broadened the scope of the claim from which it depended. Applicants agreed to cancel the claims and submit an amended set of claims.